APPEAL NO. 021911 FILED SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

This appeal arises pursuant to t	the Te	exas Work	ers' Compe	ensation	Act, 7	EX. LAB
CODE ANN. § 401.001 et seq. (1989)	Act).	A contest	ted case h	earing v	vas he	ld on July
10, 2002. The hearing officer determ	nined	that the r	espondent	t (claima	ant) su	stained a
compensable injury on	_, and	that she h	ad disabili	ty since		
The appellant (carrier) appealed	the	hearing	officer's	injury	and	disability
determinations. The file does not cont	tain a	response	from the cl	aimant.		-

DECISION

Affirmed.

Whether the claimant sustained a compensable injury and had disability are factual determinations for the hearing officer to resolve. The hearing officer, as finder of fact, is the sole judge of the relevance and materiality of the evidence, as well as the weight and credibility that is to be given to the evidence. Section 410.165(a). The Appeals Panel will not disturb the challenged factual findings of a hearing officer unless they are so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986); In re King's Estate, 150 Tex. 662, 244 S.W.2d 660 (1951). We have reviewed the matters complained of on appeal and conclude that the hearing officer's decision is supported by sufficient evidence.

We affirm the decision and order of the hearing officer.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **ACCEPTANCE CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

C T CORPORATION SYSTEM 350 NORTH ST. PAUL STREET DALLAS, TEXAS 75201.

CONCUR:	Veronica Lopez Appeals Judge			
Judy L. S. Barnes Appeals Judge				
Michael B. McShane Appeals Judge				